

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, JUNE 14, 2001  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S079744      The People, Plaintiff and Respondent  
                         v.  
                 Samuel Earl Ansell, Jr., Defendant and Appellant  
                 The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Baxter. J.

We Concur:  
George, C.J.  
Mosk, J.  
Kennard, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.

S086738 Theresa Aguilar et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants

v.

Atlantic Richfield Company et al., Defendants and Appellants

. . . [w]e conclude that we must affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

It is so ordered.

Mosk, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Hollenhorst, J.\*

Kitching, J.\*\*

---

\* Hon. Thomas E. Hollenhorst, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

\*\* Hon. Patti S. Kitching, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Consitution.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

- A089742/S097012    People v. Thomas Howard Crandall – July 23, 2001.
- A091284/S096987    People v. Gary Lee Logan – July 20, 2001.
- B134458/S097134    People v. Manrique Sanchez – July 26, 2001.
- B134577/S097057    People v. Samuel J. Ramirez – July 24, 2001.
- B136119/S097114    People v. Jose Maria Garica – July 24, 2001.
- B136636/S097103    People v. Michael Reed Dorrough – July 25, 2001.
- D033721/S097084    People v. Eugene Thomas Hines – July 23, 2001.
- D034381/S097089    People v. Sonxai Xai Rasakhamdee – July 23, 2001.
- D034653/S097153    Gil G. Gonzalez v. Gerwend Enterprises – July 25, 2001.
- G026462/S097193    People v. Andre Raymond McCullough – July 26, 2001.
- G028720/S097076    Leonard Villano et al. v. Orange County Superior Court;  
James S. Taylor – July 23, 2001.
- F033213/S097152    People v. Francisco Segura – July 26, 2001.
- F033224/S096988    People v. Oday Mounsaveng – July 23, 2001.
- F033359/S097165    People v. Patricia Carlson – July 25, 2001.
- H019652/S097013    People v. Jon Douglas White, Jr. – July 23, 2001.
- H019882/S097010    People v. Theresa Mary Ramirez – July 23, 2001.
- H020389/S096983    People v. Israel Quintana Torres – July 20, 2001.
- H020849/S097133    People v. Jaime Ledesma Zepeda – July 26, 2001.

S091804      In re Steven Clay Jackson  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including June 25, 2001.

S093716      In re Geoffrey Fowler  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including June 22, 2001.

S096127      Robert Zuckerman, Appellant  
                 v.

Board of Chiropractic Examiners of the State of California,  
Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including July 16, 2001.

S095385      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
Cuahutemoc Sanchez Valencia, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Chris Truax is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S095660      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
Eva Valdez et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant Eva Valdez for appointment of counsel, Scott Concklin is hereby appointed to represent appellant on her appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S095660 People, Respondent

v.

Eva Valdez et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant Hiram D. Lebron for appointment of counsel, Steven Schorr is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S096191 People, Respondent

v.

Daniel Justice Briscoe, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Peter Dodd is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S096290 People, Respondent

v.

Gay W. et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant Joshua Todd Woolridge for appointment of counsel, Scott M. Rand is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S096290 People, Respondent

v.

Gay W. et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant Gay Constance Wade-Woolridge for appointment of counsel, David L. Polsky is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

2nd Dist. Babak Akhavan

B143709 v.

Los Angeles County MTA

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division Five.

2nd Dist. Patricia Bohonan  
B145000 v.

National Basketball Association, et al.

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Five to Division Three.

S098147 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Eric Kobuck Wagner**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Eric Kobuck Wagner, State Bar No. 154065**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S098149 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Joseph Lester Cowan, Jr.**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Joseph Lester Cowan, Jr., State Bar No. 55559**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S098178 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Paul Haldy Erickson**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Paul Haldy Erickson, State Bar No. 80005**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S098181      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Jeffrey Allan Matz**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Jeffrey Allan Matz, State Bar No. 51123**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

